

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/560,967	60,967 12/16/2005 Shousei Yoshida		M1909.1139	1666
32172 DICKSTEIN SI	7590 09/04/2007 HAPIRO LLP	EXAMINER		
	OF THE AMERICAS (AKBAR, MUHAMMAD A		
NEW YORK, N	NY 10036-2714	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2618	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/04/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/560,967	YOSHIDA, SHOUSEI		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Muhammad Akbar	2618		

		Widitallillad Akbai	2010	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	lress
THE RE	PLY FILED 09 August 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. ⊠ TI th pl (3	ne reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or is application, applicant must time ly file one of the follo aces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No.) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliboding time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, a tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	of Appeal. To avoid aba affidavit, or other evide a compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or
a) 🗌		Advisory Action, or (2) the date set for than SIX MONTHS from the mailing of (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TI	date of the final rejection.	
peen file CFR 1.1 above, if earned p	ns of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date d is the date for purposes of determining the period of embaon a 7(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three most term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	and the corresponding amount of the f I statutory period for reply originally se	ee. The appropriate exte t in the final Office action	nsion fee under 37 ; or (2) as set forth i
2. TI of Si	ne Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any ex nce a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be MENTS	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal o	f the appeal.
3.	he proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo) They are not deemed to place the application in befappeal; and/or	nsideration and/or search (see No w);	OTE below);	
4. 🔲 1) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.	121. See attached Notice of Non-		: (PTOL -324).
6. 🔲 N — th	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s lewly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a e non-allowable claim(s).	illowable if submitted in a separat	·	_
ho TI C C C	or purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) by the new or amended claims would be rejected is prome status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: laim(s) allowed: laim(s) objected to: laim(s) rejected: 1-8. laim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		will be entered and an	explanation of
B. □ T be	AVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. 🏻 T ei sl	he affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing ntered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o nowing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under app ry and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ails to provide a 1).
_	The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation ST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims afte	r entry is below or atta	ched.
	The request for reconsideration has been considered by (see continuation sheet).			ince because:
	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	_	

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the appliction in condition for allowance because: The applicant argues on pages 6-7 regarding cliam(s) 1,5 that the reference(s) Tanaka and Miyoshi do not teach or suggest "performing a constraint process for the antenna weight obtained in the first step to maintain the beam gain constant in the arrival direction of the desired signal". The examiner respectfully disagrees and state that reference Tanaka teaches to obtain accurate arrival direction of the desired signal by using cross-correlation function with performing calculation by weight coefficeint calculator. Tanaka further teaches antenna beam gain can be increased by eleminating the interfrence such as noise (i.e. antenna gain remain same or further can be increased for calculating arrival direction of the desire signal accurately) and Tanaka used formula (col.2 equation #1) for receiving desire signal from array antennauses noise signal (N) and N : remain same i.e. gain is same (see fig.1,4,5,6,8 and col.1 lines 14-23,col.2 lines25-31,col.4 lines54-61,col.7 lines 24-29, col. 10 lines 21-27).